## An *in silico* battery to rule out chemicals with no endocrine disrupting potential on known targets

## Franklin Bauer, Zlatomir Todorov, Paul C. Thomas

KREATIS SAS, 23 rue du Creuzat, ZAC de St-Hubert, 38080 L'Isle d'Abeau, France



### **INTRODUCTION**

- $\succ$  The number of chemicals to which we are exposed is increasing, leading to serious health issues. According to the EU Commission, there is a need for a widespread assessment of endocrine disrupting (ED) properties.
- > Methods in the new and proposed regulations are time consuming and expensive.
- > The potential for ED is primarily dependent upon the capacity of a chemical to form a ligand-protein complex. No complex  $\rightarrow$  no ED modality.
- > An *in silico*, 3-step battery (Fig. 1) is described which can detect both ED potential, or lack of it, on known targets of estrogenic, androgenic, thyroidal and steroidogenic (EATS) modalities (and beyond).



### **METHODS**

3 methods designed to form the test battery:

- 1. ED SAR
- Internally developed 2D structural alert scheme
- Based on high throughput *in vitro* data on more than 8000 substances
- Each assay result is validated upon solubility limit and viability of the cells
- 2. Consensus of Third Party Tools
- A set of 32 external structural alerts models available in OECD QSAR Toolbox, Danish QSAR Database, VEGA and T.E.S.T from US EPA
- The reliability of all models is assessed and a consensus prediction is made based only on expert judgement of reliable predictions
- 3. SESAME-3D (3D docking tool)
- In-house developed workflow including various molecular modelling software
- Unrestricted application domain within the space of organic chemistry
- Quantitative prediction of interaction potency of chemicals with EATS targets

# An *in silico* battery to predict potential for binding to studied EATS targets can provide strong evidence to allow deprioritisation of organic chemicals

No ED modality on known targets (EATS) predicted



=> low risk of endocrine disruption

=> low priority for further testing



Table 1: list of endpoints currently assessed by each tool in the battery

iological target	Gene symbol	ED SAR	Third Party Tools	SESAME-3D
strogen Receptor $lpha$	ESR1	A+/A-	B/A+/A-	В
strogen Receptor $\beta$	ESR2	A+/A-	B/A+/A-	В
ndrogen Receptor	AR	A+/A-	A+/A-	В
hyroid Hormone Receptor $lpha$	THRA	A+/A-	В	В
hyroid Hormone Receptor $eta$	THRB	A+/A-	В	В
hyroperoxidase	ТРО	I-	-	-
odium-lodide Symporter	SLC5A5	I-	-	-
hyroid-Stimulating Hormone Receptor	TSHR	A+/A-	-	-
odothyronine Deiodinase I, II and III	DIO1, DIO2 and DIO3	I-	-	-
rogesterone Receptor	PGR	A+/A-	-	В
ollicle-Stimulating Hormone Receptor	FSHR	A-	-	-
romatase	CYP19A1	I-	-	-
regnane X Receptor (beyond EATS)	NR1I2	-	В	-

Footnote: 'B' = Binding ; 'A+' = Agonism ; 'A-' = Antagonism ; 'I-' = Inhibition ; '-' = not covered by this method

Downstream testing can then be focussed on substances with endocrine activity alerts

Potential ED modality predicted

=> endocrine disruption cannot be excluded

=> further testing recommended (in vitro/in vivo)

### RESULTS

- ED SAR 1.
- Covers 17 EATS endpoints (see Table 1)
- The overall accuracy for all endpoints and all structural alerts is of 99.6%, based on the training set
- 2. Consensus of Third-Party Tools
  - Covers 7 EAT endpoints and 1 non-EATS endpoint (see Table 1)
  - The accuracy of the employed models is within 64% and 90%, based on the respective training sets
- 3. SESAME-3D
  - Covers 6 EATS endpoints (see Table 1)
  - Accuracy: binding affinities  $(K_d)$  for native hormones are reproduced with an error < 10 nM vs. experimental references.
- > The proposed methodology allows derivation of a consensus prediction of the potential of a chemical to initiate an ED phenomenon with EATS modalities. External validation of the battery is in progress, first results by summer 2021.

Scan QR code to



Contact us: contact@kreatis.eu https://www.kreatis.eu/





